

Anthropic	<p>The company and its leaders regularly and proactively communicate extreme risks.</p> <p>Examples from CEO Dario Amodei:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Warns AI may eliminate 50% of entry-level white-collar jobs within the next five years <a href="#">[Business Insider, 2025]</a> and says on television that he is "raising the alarm" about this <a href="#">[CNN, 2025]</a>.</li> <li>- Blog post calling the Paris AI Action summit a "missed opportunity", saying ". greater focus and urgency is needed on several topics given the pace at which the technology is progressing." <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2025]</a>.</li> <li>- Warned Congress that AI could enable bioweapon creation within 2-3 years <a href="#">[Bloomberg, 2023]</a>.</li> <li>- Repeatedly warns that 'powerful AI', which he likens to "a country of geniuses in a datacenter", could arrive as early as 2026 or 2027, and is explicit about extreme risks <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2025]</a>: ". hardcore misuse in AI autonomy that could be threats to the lives of millions of people. That is what Anthropic is mostly worried about." <a href="#">[Business Insider, 2025]</a></li> </ul> <p><a href="#">CAIS statement</a> on AI Risk signed by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Dario Amodei (CEO),</li> <li>• Daniela Amodei (President),</li> <li>• Jared Kaplan (co-founder),</li> <li>• Chris Olah (co-founder)</li> </ul> <p>Relevant blogs by Anthropic are below. Several share quantitative evidence related to extreme risks:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Progress from our Frontier Red Team <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2025]</a></li> <li>• Third-party testing as a key ingredient of AI policy <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2024]</a></li> <li>• Reflections on responsible scaling policy <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2024]</a></li> <li>• The case for targeted regulation <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2024]</a></li> <li>• Frontier Threats Red Teaming for AI Safety <a href="#">[Anthropic, 2023]</a></li> </ul>
DeepSeek	<p>The company and its leadership do not discuss extreme risks from AI.</p> <p>CEO Liang Wenfeng keeps a very low profile and rarely speaks in public. Beijing instructed DeepSeek "not to engage with the media without approval." <a href="#">[Reuters, 2025]</a>.</p>
Google DeepMind	<p>Corporate communications rarely mention extreme risks. Google DeepMind's leadership regularly discusses extreme risks in media interviews. Google's leadership does not.</p> <p><b>Leadership examples:</b></p> <p>• "We must take the risks of AI as seriously as other major global challenges, like climate change [...] It took the international community too long to coordinate an effective global response [...]. We can't afford the same delay with AI" <a href="#">[Guardian, 2024]</a>.</p> <p>Time reported Hassabis saying: "Artificial intelligence is a dual-use technology like nuclear energy: it can be used for good, but it could also be terribly destructive" <a href="#">[Time, 2025]</a>. Demis shares that he thinks AGI is only a "handful of years away" and that he is very worried about deception, calling it "incredibly dangerous", and speaks about encouraging the Security institutes to investigate them <a href="#">[Youtube, 2025]</a>. Other examples: <a href="#">[CNN, 2025]</a>; <a href="#">[CBS, 2025]</a>; <a href="#">[Dwarkanesh Podcast, 2024]</a>; <a href="#">[TIME, 2023]</a>.</p> <p>Shane Legg (Chief AGI Scientist) communicates a similar stance <a href="#">[Dwarkanesh Podcast; 2023, Google Deepmind, 2023]</a>. Talking to Axios, Legg recently stated AI is a very powerful technology, and it can and should be regulated." <a href="#">[Axios,2025]</a>.</p> <p>Google's CEO, Sundar Pichai, stated that "The biggest risk could be missing out," at the AI Action Summit in Paris yesterday <a href="https://observer.com/2025/02/biggest-risk-ai-is-missing-out-google-ceo-sundar-pichai/?utm_source=chatgpt.com">https://observer.com/2025/02/biggest-risk-ai-is-missing-out-google-ceo-sundar-pichai/?utm_source=chatgpt.com</a></p> <p><a href="#">CAIS statement</a> on AI Risk signed by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Demis Hassabis (CEO),</li> <li>• Shane Legg (Co-Founder),</li> <li>• Lila Ibrahim (COO)</li> </ul>
Meta	<p>Company and leadership rarely address extreme risks.</p> <p>Mark Zuckerberg and Chief AI Scientist Yann LeCun express the strongest counternarrative to AI existential risk concerns among major companies <a href="#">[Interesting Engineering, 2025]</a>. LeCun does not believe that AI poses existential risk and calls such concerns "complete B.S.", arguing we need "the beginning of a hint of a design for a system smarter than a house cat before worrying about superintelligence" <a href="#">[Tech crunch, 2024]</a>. Meta's president of global affairs expresses a similar position <a href="#">[Politico, 2024]</a>, comparing the discussion and framing the topic as a "moral panic" <a href="#">[Independent, 2024]</a>.</p> <p>Zuckerberg is concerned about power concentration: "But I stay up at night worrying more about an untrustworthy actor having the super strong AI, whether it's an adversarial government or an untrustworthy company or whatever." He shares that: " Bioweapons are one of the areas where the people who are most worried about this stuff are focused, and I think it makes a lot of sense." He expresses less urgency on existential risk addressing deception as "longer-term theoretical risks", and saying ". we focus more on the types of risks that we see today .." <a href="#">[Dwarkanesh Podcast, 2024]</a>.</p>
OpenAI	<p>OpenAI and its leadership sometimes talk about extreme risks</p> <p>CEO Altman's communications have changed over time. In 2015, he stated: "I think that AI will probably, most likely, sort of lead to the end of the world" <a href="#">[Stanford, 2024]</a>, and published a blog on "why machine intelligence is something we should be afraid of" <a href="#">[Altman, 2015]</a>.</p> <p>In 2023, he published a blog "Planning for AGI and Beyond," stating OpenAI will proceed as if risks are "existential" <a href="#">[OpenAI, 2023]</a>. In another blog, argued about the need for global coordination on the governance of superintelligence, and that "it would be important that such an agency focus on reducing existential risk" <a href="#">[OpenAI, 2023]</a>. In his 2023 Senate testimony, he urged lawmakers to implement federal licensing and external audits to bound risk <a href="#">[Time, 2023]</a>.</p> <p>In his recent communications, Altman adopted a notably more optimistic tone. In his recent congressional testimony, Altman told lawmakers that requiring government approval would be "disastrous" for US AI leadership <a href="#">[Washington Post, 2025]</a>. His recent blogs focus on the benefits Superintelligence could bring <a href="#">[Altman, 2025]</a>.</p> <p><a href="#">CAIS statement</a> on AI Risk signed by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Sam Altman (CEO)</li> <li>• Adam D'Angelo (board member),</li> <li>• Wojciech Zaremba (cofounder)</li> </ul> <p>Relevant blogs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Preparing for future AI capabilities in biology:</li> </ul> <p>Acknowledges AI could enable people to: "recreate biological threats or assist highly skilled actors in creating bioweapons.", then explains OpenAI's approach to preventing misuse <a href="#">[OpenAI, 2025]</a>.</p>
x.AI	<p>xAI itself does not publicly share information about extreme risks.</p> <p>CEO Musk has a track record of raising concerns.</p> <p>In 2014, Musk called AI humanity's "biggest existential threat.", calling for regulatory oversight <a href="#">[Live Science, 2014]</a></p> <p>In September 2023, he told senators "'there's some chance – above zero – that AI will kill us all." <a href="#">[NBC, 2023]</a>. At the 2024 Saudi summit, he estimated a "10-20% chance AI goes bad."<a href="#">[Fortune, 2025]</a></p> <p><a href="#">CAIS statement</a> on AI Risk signed by:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Igor Babuschkin (cofounder),</li> <li>• Tony Wu (co-founder).</li> </ul> <p>Musk signed the FLI pause letter <a href="#">[FLI 2023]</a></p>
Zhipu AI	<p>Corporate communications don't speak about the potential for extreme risks. Leadership is discussed publicly.</p> <p>Tang Jie 唐杰 (Chief Scientist) signed a 2024 track 2 diplomacy statement acknowledging potential for catastrophic risks: "Collectively, we must prepare to avert the attendant catastrophic risks that could arrive at any time." <a href="#">[IDAIS, 2024]</a></p> <p>When speaking about AGI at the Seoul Summit, CEO Peng said: "[...] crucial responsibility of ensuring AI safety. As we delve deeper into the realms of AGI, it is imperative that we prioritize the development of robust safety measures to align AI systems with human values and ethical standards, thereby safeguarding our future in an AI-driven world." <a href="#">[UK Gov, 2024]</a></p> <p>Zhang Peng (CEO) was the only industry representative among Chinese scientists signing the IDAIS statement on AI safety redlines that should not be crossed, which stated: "[...] AI systems may pose catastrophic or even existential risks to humanity within our lifetimes." <a href="#">[IDAIS, 2024]</a>; <a href="#">[Carnegie Endowment, 2024]</a>. He gave a speech emphasizing the need for research to align superintelligent systems <a href="#">[36kr, 2024]</a>.</p>